


Hotter, Denser, Faster, Smaller... and Nearly-Perfect: What's the Matter at RHIC?




Peter Steinberg
BNL Chemistry Department
410th BNL Lecture - December 21, 2005




In a single year,
1905,
Einstein published
four papers, three of
which could have
won a Nobel Prize
(and one did!)



The importance of
Einstein's 1905 papers
has been felt since
throughout all of
modern physics...
from the largest to
the smallest scales






1. The Femtoworld

2. Quarks, Gluons,
States of Matter


3. What we do
at RHIC



4. Counting with
PHOBOS
















5. Creating matter
at RHIC




6. The Future

A Brief Roadmap

Powers of 10



“The Femtoworld”




In 2005, “Nuclear Physics” is the study of the Particles and Forces active at the “femtometer” scale

1 femtometer = 1 fm = 0.0000000000000001 m

Adopted in 1964, it comes from the Danish or Norwegian *femten*, meaning *fifteen*.

Time in the Femtoworld




It takes light 3×10^{-24} seconds (3 “yoctoseconds”) to travel 1 femtometer in vacuum.

| y_s = 0.000000000000000000000000 | sec


This is the basic “time scale” of strong interaction physics

What's in a proton?



We have long known that a proton has a charge, mass, size and spin, but none of these properties point to what's “inside”

The Particle “Zoo”



Thomson's
“electron”







FIG. 1. A 63 million volt positron ($H_p = 2.1 \times 10^9$ gauss-cm) passing through a 6 mm lead plate and emerging as a 23 million volt positron ($H_p = 7.5 \times 10^8$ gauss-cm). The length of this latter path is at least ten times greater than the possible length of a proton path of this curvature.

Antimatter!

BNL
Berkeley

a_2
 η^*
 Ω^-



BNL AGS

With new detectors
and machines,
many new particles
were discovered!


Periodic Table of the Elements 2005																			
1 H 1.01																	18 He 4.00		
3 Li 6.94	4 Be 9.01													5 B 10.81	6 C 12.01	7 N 14.01	8 O 15.99	9 F 19.00	10 Ne 20.18
11 Na 22.99	12 Mg 25.31													13 Al 26.98	14 Si 28.09	15 P 30.97	16 S 32.07	17 Cl 35.45	18 Ar 39.95
19 K 39.10	20 Ca 40.08	21 Sc 44.96	22 Ti 47.87	23 V 50.94	24 Cr 52.00	25 Mn 54.94	26 Fe 55.85	27 Co 58.93	28 Ni 58.69	29 Cu 63.55	30 Zn 65.41	31 Ga 69.72	32 Ge 72.64	33 As 74.92	34 Se 78.96	35 Br 79.90	36 Kr 83.80		
37 Rb 85.47	38 Sr 87.62	39 Y 88.91	40 Zr 91.22	41 Nb 92.91	42 Mo 95.94	43 Tc (98)	44 Ru 101.07	45 Rh 102.91	46 Pd 106.42	47 Ag 107.87	48 Cd 112.41	49 In 114.82	50 Sn 118.71	51 Sb 121.76	52 Te 127.60	53 I 126.90	54 Xe 131.29		
55 Cs 132.91	56 Ba 137.33	57 La 138.91	72 Hf 178.49	73 Ta 180.95	74 W 183.84	75 Re 186.21	76 Os 190.23	77 Ir 192.22	78 Pt 195.08	79 Au 196.97	80 Hg 200.59	81 Tl 204.38	82 Pb 207.2	83 Bi 208.98	84 Po (209)	85 At (210)	86 Rn (222)		
87 Fr (223)	88 Ra (226)	89 Ac (227)	104 Rf (261)	105 Db (262)	106 Sg (266)	107 Bh (264)	108 Hs (270)	109 Mt (268)	110 Ds (281)	111 Rg (272)									



58 Ce 140.12	59 Pr 140.91	60 Nd 144.24	61 Pm (145)	62 Sm 150.36	63 Eu 151.97	64 Gd 157.25	65 Tb 158.93	66 Dy 162.50	67 Ho 164.93	68 Er 167.26	69 Tm 168.93	70 Yb 173.04	71 Lu 174.97
90 Th 232.04	91 Pa 231.04	92 U 238.03	93 Np (237)	94 Pu (244)	95 Am (243)	96 Cm (247)	97 Bk (247)	98 Cf (251)	99 Es (252)	100 Fm (257)	101 Md (258)	102 No (259)	103 Lr (262)

The periodic table is a testament to the composition of nuclear species (even without knowing their “insides”!)


Making Sense of the Zoo





M. Gell-Mann

Gell-Mann and Ne'eman proposed “quarks” as a way to understand the particle zoo, kind of like the way the periodic table makes sense of the known elements

The Quark Model



Omega-minus group: (T to B) Ralph Shutt, Jack Jensen, Medford Webster, William Tuttle, William Fowler, Donald Brown, Nicolas P. Samios




Discovery of Omega (sss)
verified quark model

The Quark “Glue”



Yang & Mills
(1954 BNL)




After quarks were discovered theoretically and experimentally, it was a matter of time until people began to understand the forces (i.e fields) holding them together

Quantum Chromodynamics




2004 Nobel Prize



Just as photons are the “particles” of the electromagnetic field (1905!), the “**gluon**” is the carrier particle of the “color” field of QCD, **Quantum Chromodynamics**

Probing a Proton



If we “look”
inside a proton,
Can we see “pieces”
of it fly out?




Feynman




Bjorken



Deep Inelastic Scattering @ SLAC




Probing a Proton



What happens as the struck quark gets farther from the proton?


Gravity & E&M



Gravity &
Electromagnetism
holds much of
our world together
(except the nucleus
and nucleon)

The two most important forces
in our everyday lives get
weaker as the particles
get farther away from each other!

$$E \sim 1/r, F \sim 1/r^2$$




Probing a Proton



QCD

predicts that the
force between
quarks is constant
with increasing
distance



Energy $\sim r$
just like stretching
a rubber band

SNAP!

Eventually, there's too much energy, and another quark and anti-quark “pop” out of the vacuum!

“Particle production”: stretching and breaking the “rubber band” of the strong force!

$$e^- + p \rightarrow e^- + p + \pi^0$$



Proton




Pion


$$E = mc^2$$



“Hadrons”



A “Baryon” is 3 quarks:
flavors, charge, spin,
mass & CONSERVED




A “Meson” is quark &
anti-quark:
flavors, charge, spin,
mass

Quantum Chromodynamics requires “colorless” particles

A Zoo? More like an Ark...



Baryon
(3 q or \bar{q})



Meson
(1 q & \bar{q})

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma & & \\ \Lambda & & \Omega \\ & \Delta & \Xi \\ p & & \\ & n & \end{array}$$
$$\omega \quad \rho$$
$$\kappa \quad \pi$$
$$\rho \quad \phi$$

Qu	up
Flavor	
U up	
d down	
C charr	
S stran	
t top	
b botto	




$\phi(1680)$

$I G(J^{PC}) = 0^-(1^{--})$

Mass $m = 1680 \pm 20 \text{ MeV} [n]$

Full width $\Gamma = 150 \pm 50 \text{ MeV} [n]$

$\phi(1680)$ DECAY MODES



$p \text{ (MeV/c)}$	
462	
621	
680	
840	
623	
$^{--})$	
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> Scale factor $\frac{P}{(\text{MeV/c})}$ </div>	
790	
787	
655	
834	
629	
685	
727	
520	
633	
307	
333	
$^{--})$	
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> $\pi^- \text{ modes}$ $\pi^+ \pi^- \text{ modes}$ </div>	
$p \text{ (MeV/c)}$	
803	
653	
650	

$2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$ large

$\rho \pi \pi$ dominant

$\rho^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ large

803

653

650

[HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV](http://pdg.lbl.gov)

Page 16

Created: 12/9/2004 14:01

1000's of "hadronic states" (particles & anti-particles) have been observed, many discovered here at BNL

Heating



In the early 1960's
Rolf Hagedorn
predicted that
the bound state
spectrum would
rise indefinitely
→ Singularity at
limiting temperature
 $T_H \sim 170 \text{ MeV}$

$$\rho(m) \sim m^a e^{m/T_0} \rightarrow Z$$





Fig. 3.1: The predicted and the experimental mass spectrum as it evolved from 1964 to 1967.


We've come a long way!




QCDOC 10 Teraflop computer
at RIKEN/BNL Research Center

T.D. Lee






QCD is notoriously hard to solve for high temperature, so solved numerically on powerful machines!




Years ago, it was discovered that there is a “jump” in the number of “degrees of freedom” at the Hagedorn temperature






Quark-Gluon Plasma

QGP is a new state of matter



Solid

The Big Bang



What State of Matter?



Does it act
like an ideal gas?




Does it flow,
like a (compressible) liquid?

RHIC



Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider

RHIC




Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider

RHIC @ BNL



Tandems




Superconducting
Magnets (@ 4°K)



RF Cavities

“Lorentz Contraction”



$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} = \frac{E}{mc^2}$$

Objects with $v \sim c$ appear “contracted”

At RHIC, we accelerate gold ions to 99.995% of the speed of light -- a $\sim 100\times$ compression!

Time in units of fm/c = 3×10^{-24} sec



$$E = \gamma mc^2$$




$t = -19.800$

We then use $E=mc^2$ as a tool - colliding nuclei at high energy makes thousands of new degrees of freedom, possibly creating a Quark-Gluon Plasma



How much
energy
in each
collision?



$$1.6 \times 10^{-19} \frac{J}{eV} \times 197 \times 200 GeV \sim 6 \mu J$$




Consider
two mosquitos
colliding...




$$2 \times \frac{1}{2} mv^2 = (2.5 mg) \times (2.5 km/h)^2 = 1.2 \mu J$$


RHIC Detectors to Scale




PHENIX



STAR




BRAHMS



PHOBOS

A Single RHIC Event in STAR



A Single Event in PHOBOS






The PHOBOS Detector

“Rings”

2000-2005


A Single Event @ PHOBOS



Rings



Octagon




Rings



The PHOBOS Collaboration


1 Billion events in 5 years!

Collision Variables



And of course, the collision energy of $E_{NN}=200 \text{ GeV}$!

Angular Distributions & N_{ch}



θ
 z
 “Rapidity” $y = \tanh^{-1} \beta_z$ $\eta = -\log(\tan(\theta/2))$ Pseudo-rapidity
Angle tells us about velocity of particles along beam axis.

Most produced particles are relatively slow.
 $E=mc^2$: Trade off of kinetic energy for matter

Entropy & Thermalization

Entropy reflects the number of degrees of freedom available to a system when it “thermalizes”, i.e. erases all information about its initial state by randomizing the motion of the constituents



$$S = \frac{\Delta Q}{T}$$

Do collisions at RHIC thermalize? If so, we may be able to learn about the relevant constituents by studying its entropy!

Entropy & Multiplicity

$$S = \frac{\Delta Q}{T}$$

Total amount of energy
added as “heat”

Average energy per
relevant degree of
freedom

$$\propto N_{DOF} \propto N_{tot}$$



For entropy, everything “counts”...

The Final State @ RHIC



Can we see thermalization in the final state?

Strong Blackbody




The spectrum of particles emerging from the collisions seems to have a blackbody shape, but with hadrons instead of photons

Particle Ratios

T	Chemical freezeout temperature
μ_B	Baryochemical potential (when you have more matter than antimatter!)

$$N_i \propto V \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{e^{(\sqrt{p^2+m^2}-\mu_B)/T} \pm 1}$$

Blackbody spectrum



The Temperature at RHIC


$$k_B T = 177 \text{ MeV}$$

This is $\sim 2 \times 10^{12}$ degrees K


This is, in some sense, the
“final temperature”
of a RHIC collision, when
it “freezes” into hadrons

The earlier stages must have
been much hotter!


A Simple Model for Entropy



A Simple Model for Entropy



What if the system thermalized immediately,
in the Lorentz-contracted volume?
What would the entropy be?



Fermi-Landau Model

$$E = A \times E_{NN}$$

Total Energy

$$V = \frac{A \times V_0}{E_{NN}/2m_N}$$

Total Volume


$$\epsilon = \frac{E_{NN}^2}{2m_N V_0}$$

Energy Density E/V
($>3 \text{ TeV/fm}^3$ @ RHIC!)

$$s \propto \epsilon^{3/4}$$



$$S = sV \propto N_{part} E_{NN}^{1/2}$$

N_{ch} Scaling With Volume




Total charged is
linear with N_{part}


$$\frac{N_{ch}}{N_{part}/2} = f(E_{NN})$$





PHOBOS Event Display




Fermi-Landau vs. Data



e^+e^- vs. $A+A$




Similar multiplicity after dividing by $N_{\text{part}}/2$



So far we've been treating the system as if it's
sitting in a box (or test tube!)

Set the QGP Free!



What happens when you take the glass away?

The Stuff at RHIC



Does it evaporate,
like a gas?




Does it flow,
like a liquid?

Is the material a gas?




A gas flows down a pipe,
but just expands isotropically into space.

Is the material a liquid?





A liquid is its own container.
Its flow depends on its shape

The “Shape” of Things





- RHIC collisions have a special shape:
1. Compressed along the beam directions
 2. Almond shaped in the “transverse” plane

Longitudinal Flow




1955: Landau solves “Relativistic Hydrodynamics”

2005: Heinz, Kolb, Shuryak, Ollitrault, Hirano, etc.



The more you squeeze it, the faster it explodes!

Unique to RHIC?





Strongly-coupled ${}^6\text{Li}$ atoms in a magnetic trap at the Feshbach resonance (O'Hara et al, 2003)

Any system with sufficiently-strong interactions will show “hydrodynamic” behavior

Ultracold atoms show it.
Do ultrahot RHIC collisions?


Landau Model vs. Data



Landau's predictions from 1955
seem to be relevant in 2005!


The longitudinal explosion in heavy ion collisions
acts like a rapidly-thermalized fluid!

So What?



Try to imagine what is happening here:
Two nuclei racing towards each other at light speed...

So What?



They collide, and something happens...


So What?

$$t \sim 10^{-23} \text{ sec}$$

$$R \sim 10^{-15} \text{ m}$$

$$T > 2 \times 10^{12} \text{ }^\circ\text{K}$$

$$\epsilon_0 > 3 \text{ TeV/fm}^3$$



Faster

Smaller

Hotter

Denser

...than
anything
you can
imagine!


Something which makes the fastest, smallest, hottest,
and most dense liquid created since the Big Bang!

What Makes RHIC Tick?

We can see that the matter created at RHIC forms quickly and is strongly interacting

But to be honest, we still don't know exactly
which degrees of freedom are interacting

Expected a “gas” of quarks and gluons,
but models based on these interactions
do not have sufficient coupling strength to
allow a good description of the data




Frontiers of RHIC Physics

Theoretical


Experimental



Color Glass Condensate



Density of quarks and gluons is so high that they may “saturate”, creating another new state of strongly-interacting matter



CGC: a new state of matter?

Black Holes at RHIC?

BBC NEWS UK EDITION

Last Updated: Thursday, 17 March, 2005, 11:30 GMT

[E-mail this to a friend](#)

[Printable version](#)

Lab fireball 'may be black hole'

A fireball created in a US particle accelerator has the characteristics of a black hole, a physicist has said.

It was generated at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) in New York, US, which smashes beams of gold nuclei together at near light speeds.

Horatiu Nastase says his calculations show that the core of the fireball has a striking similarity to a black hole.

His work has been published on the pre-print website arxiv.org and is reported in New Scientist magazine.

When the gold nuclei smash into each other they are broken down into particles called quarks and gluons.

These form a ball of plasma about 300 times hotter than the surface of the Sun. This fireball, which lasts just 10 million, billion, billionths of a second, can be detected because it absorbs jets of particles produced by the beam collisions.



But Nastase, of Brown University in Providence, Rhode Island, says there is something unusual about it.




Creating the conditions for the formation of black holes is one of the aims of particle physics

sorry, no...

A Mathematical Connection





10-dimensional
Black Hole
(not a “real”
black hole...)



“Quark-Gluon
Liquid”?

Keyword: Viscosity



Some liquids like to “flow” more than other liquids.

“Viscous” fluids (e.g. honey or motor oil) don’t like to flow

A perfect fluid (no viscosity) only likes to flow!

sQGP**String Theory!****Viscosity in ~~Strongly Interacting Quantum Field Theories~~ from ~~Black Hole Physics~~**P. K. Kovtun,¹ D. T. Son,² and A. O. Starinets³¹*Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA*²*Institute for Nuclear Theory, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195-1550, USA*³*Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 2Y5, Canada*

(Received 20 December 2004; published 22 March 2005)

The ratio of shear viscosity to volume density of entropy can be used to characterize how close a given fluid is to being perfect. Using string theory methods, we show that this ratio is equal to a universal value of $\hbar/4\pi k_B$ for a large class of strongly interacting quantum field theories whose dual description involves black holes in anti-de Sitter space. We provide evidence that this value may serve as a lower bound for a wide class of systems, thus suggesting that black hole horizons are dual to the most ideal fluids.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.111601


PACS numbers: 11.10.Wx, 04.70.Dy, 11.25.Tq, 47.75.+f

Details aside, this paper makes a calculation about
RHIC physics using a 10 dimensional black hole
and gets a meaningful result about its viscosity...


Lower Viscosity Bound

Physics Today, May 2005

P. K. Kovtun, D. T. Son, A. O. Starinets, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 111601 (2005).



A perfect liquid is impossible - but is RHIC the most perfect?



Viscosity is intimately connected to Brownian motion (1905!)


Can measure viscosity by measuring diffusion

How do we study such processes in a sQGP?...





$$D = \frac{3kT}{\alpha} \quad \alpha = 6\pi\eta a$$

Heavy Flavor @ RHIC II




To probe the transport properties of the system, would be useful to study thermalization of heavier objects \rightarrow e.g. heavy quarks

New silicon detector being developed for PHENIX to measure charmed particles by means of displaced decay vertices





QCDLab (RHIC II)






10x the luminosity (event rate) of RHIC
for gold-gold collisions!


ATLAS @ LHC



High energies (x2250 contraction), huge multiplicities!
will the trends discussed here break down?

Understanding the strong interaction has a long history






But we still have
a lot of work to do!




Back to the Beginning!




Nucleons are “baryons”, which are conserved and much heavier than pions - an uneven trade!

“Baryochemistry”






At low energies, the participating baryons are found to “pile up”, with most of them nearly at rest.

At higher energies, they seem to have appreciable velocity...




$T (^{\circ}\text{C})$

p. 376 of R. E. Dickerson's [Molecular Thermodynamics](#) (Pasadena, California), 1969



Baryons Suppress Entropy

Cleymans, Stankiewicz, PAS, Wheaton (submitted 2005)




Can show that $\Delta \frac{N_{ch}}{N_{part}/2} \propto \frac{\mu_B}{T}$

Longitudinal Scaling

$$\frac{dN}{dy} = K s^{1/4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi L}} \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{2L}\right)$$


$$y' = y + y_{beam} = y + e^L$$

$$\frac{dN}{dy'} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \exp\left(-\frac{y'^2}{2L} - y'\right)$$



When observed in the rest frame of one of the projectiles \sim invariance of particle yields!

“Longitudinal Scaling”



Central
events



Peripheral
events

Rest frame
of “target”

Rest frame
of “projectile”



Reflected

“Elliptic Flow”



Modulation in the angle in the transverse direction

Agreement with Hydro



STAR

$$\frac{1}{N} \frac{dN}{d\phi} = 1 + 2v_1 \cos(\phi - \Phi_R) + 2v_2 \cos(2[\phi - \Phi_R]) + \dots$$

Agreement with calculations of asymmetries,
based on ideal liquid thermalizing in $\tau \sim 0.6 \text{ fm}/c$

Gell-Mann v. Steinberg



Born 1929
Yale, JE '48

PhD, MIT '51
Invented quarks



Born 1969
Yale, JE '92

PhD, MIT '98
Studies quarks